On-the-Fly Calculation of Performance Metrics with Adaptive Time Resolution for HPC Compute Jobs

Konstantin Stefanov, Vadim Voevodin cstef@parallel.ru, vadim@parallel.ru

Research Computing Center M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University

> Russian Supercomputing Days Moscow 24.09.2018

Performance Monitoring

- Basic object (job) is dynamic need to select related data from the whole stream
- Data volume is huge:
 - Poll frequency the more HZ the better
 - Many different sensors (CPU load, LoadAvg, flops, network counters etc.)
- Much of the data are used only once (calculating aggregates for finished job)

On-the-fly Calculation of Performance Metrics

Performance Monitoring: Common Approach



Performance Data Stream: Random IO

Time	Node	Sensor	Value		
21:34:45	1	CPULoad	45		
		IB bytes in	2679		
	2	CPULoad	49		
		IB bytes in	2179		
	3	CPULoad	35		
		IB bytes in	1629		
	4	CPULoad	45		
		IB bytes in	1629		
21:34:55	1	CPULoad	45		
		IB bytes in	2679		
	2	CPULoad	45		
		IB bytes in	2679		
	3	CPULoad	45		
		IB bytes in	2679		
	4	CPULoad	45		
		IB bytes in	2679		

Job	Start	Finish	Nodes
1	20:30:07	21:34:50	1, 3
2	21:05:37	21:48:23	2, 4
3	21:34:53	22:08:21	1, 3

Performance Monitoring: Proposed Approach



Reconfiguring on-the-fly to Calculate per-Job Metrics: Job Start



Reconfiguring on-the-fly to Calculate per-Job Metrics: Job Running



Reconfiguring on-the-fly to Calculate per-Job Metrics: Job finish



Issue:

Restart Monitoring System Parts

- Restart is a big issue:
 - Node agent saves node ID
 - Server part saves job data after the job is finished

Issue: Restart Node Agent

 Restart is done after a job is finished from a system-wide SLURM epilog script

Issue:

Restart Server Part

(not implemented yet)

- 2 server parts, both have the same data
 - Main part works as described above, save the data right after the job is finished
 - Standby part tries to save job data in 30s after the job is finished
 - If the record for the job is already there, the data are discarded
- Restart both parts with interval longer than the job time limit

Making Time Resolution Adaptive

Problem description and solution

- The more data points the better (especially for short jobs)
- Graphs with many data points are hard to visualize
- Need a trade-off
- Make dynamic resolution:
 Fine for short jobs
 Coarse for long jobs

Short Job

Time	23: 00: 01	23: 00: 02	23: 00: 03	23: 00: 04				
CPU user, %	100	94	90	90				

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

Time	23: 00: 01	23: 00: 02	23: 00: 03	23: 00: 04			23: 16: 39	23: 16: 40
CPU user, %	100	94	90	90			50	55

Not-so-Short Job

Time	23: 00: 01	23: 00: 02	23: 00: 03	23: 00: 04			23: 16: 39	23: 16: 40
CPU user, %	100	94	90	90			50	55



Time	23: 00: 01	23: 00: 03		23: 16: 39			
CPU user, %	97	90		52,5			

Results: Dynamic vs Fixed (2 min) Resolution



17

Results: Dynamic vs Fixed (2 min) Resolution



00:04

- avg

00:05

— avg min — avg max

00:06

00:07

00:00

00:01

00:02

00:03

---- max

— min

Thank you for your attention